RETRY LEO FRANK, SAYS RABBI LYONS New York Times (1857-1922); Nov 29, 1914; ProQuest Historical Newspapers The New York Times (1851 - 2008) pg. 13

RETRY LEO FRANK, SAYS RABBI LYONS

Necessary to Vindicate Courts from Charge of Yielding to Prejudice and Passion.

SEND SERMON BROADCAST

Brooklyn Clergyman Speaks of Gov. Glynn as Victim of Religious Prejudice in Recent Election.

Copies of a sermon preached by Rabbi Alexander Lyons in the Eighth Avenue Temple in Brooklyn, Friday night, in which he referred to the prejudice against Leo M. Frank that existed in Atlanta during the trial of Frank, which resulted in a verdict convicting him of the murder of Mary Phagan, are to be mailed to thousands of people in all the larger towns of Georgia. The subject was "Prejudice in American The speaker referred to the religious opposition to Gov. Glynn as an in-stance of prejudice, "vicious and un-patriotic, subordinating principle to

opposition to cover stance of prejudice, "vicious and unparticite, subordinating principle to thoughtlessness and passion."
With reference to the Frank case, Rabbi Lyons said in part:
"There is in many quarters a conviction—which is shared by a number of distinguished Christians, such as, for instance, Justice Holmes of the Supreme Court—that Leo M. Frank was not tried Court—that Leo M. Frank was not trieu entirely upon pertinent facts. The fact that he was a Jew was mentioned so frequently before and during the trial that it is hard to believe that he was tried as an ordinary American citizen, without consideration of race or religion. "Defendants answering to the charge of lesser crimes than this

without consideration of race or religion.
"Defendants answering to the charge of lesser crimes than this have often been granted a change of venue to escape an atmosphere of prejudice; and in the temper of the community at that time it would have been hard for Frank to have a fair trial aside from questions of religion. When we consider that the presiding Judge, with the calmness of his judicial experience said that been hard to.

been hard to.

trial aside from
ligion. When we conside

presiding Judge, with the

of his juddeal experience, said the

the evidence was not convincing, the

agreement of the jury on conviction

suggests either that they were all

superior to the presiding Judge, which

and element which he

ive of justice thought

amitted as deteris not likely, or that they were swayed by an element which he as a representative of justice thought should not have been admitted as determining influence.

"Frank should be retried for the sake of the elevation of our judicial procedure above the charge of the influence of passion and prejudice." element which he e of justice thought