

FRANK CASE \$1,000 REWARD.

Offered by Burns for Evidence That
Prisoner Was Immoral.

Special to The New York Times.

ATLANTA, Ga., April 11.—A reward of \$1,000 has been offered by Detective William J. Burns for satisfactory information in connection with reports that Leo M. Frank was morally deficient or immoral. This offer will appear in all of the Atlanta papers tomorrow, Mr. Burns said to-night.

"In making my investigation, it is of the highest importance that I get at the truth of the reports concerning acts of immorality on the part of Leo M. Frank. In the papers I requested that persons having information bearing in any way on the matter would communicate with me at once. Having received no response at all from any one, I am compelled, on account of the extreme importance of the matter, to make the statement that I will pay \$1,000 to any person or persons who will furnish me any definite and satisfactory information on the above lines."

Mr. Burns said he considered it remarkable that he had been unable to learn anything derogatory to Frank's moral character, in view of the many stories that were published prior to the trial, and also of the evidence given by witnesses at the trial derogatory to Frank.

"It seems to me," said the detective, "that if there is any truth in these stories I ought to be able to get evidence in corroboration, but so far I have been unable to get any. Therefore, I am offering the reward."

Mr. Burns left the city at 5 P. M. He did not make public his destination, but said he would return soon. His report, attorneys for the defense say, probably will not be made for ten days or two weeks, the delay being caused by the discovery of a new chain of evidence. Mr. Burns admitted that he had been making an extensive investigation of every angle of Conley's connection with the crime, and had turned up significant clues that never had had a part in the case before.

The detective has not been allowed to interview Conley yet, and Frank's attorneys say the detective will not complete his report until he has talked with the negro. Next Friday is the date set for Frank's execution. On Thursday his attorneys will present their extraordinary motion for a new trial to Judge B. H. Hill. This motion will act as a stay of execution.

PHRENOLOGIST WILL SUE.

Head-Reader Arrested and Discharged is Indignant.

Detective Thomas King was in a "beauty parlor" in Washington Street, Newark, yesterday, telephoning from a booth, when he heard a man tell the woman manager that he was a phrenologist, and would like to read her head. King stepped out and asked the man to pass judgment on his own bumps. The phrenologist refused and King arrested him.

Arraigned before Judge Hahn in the First Criminal Court in Newark, the man said he was Charles H. Clark of 3 Hampton Court Terrace, Jersey City. The Court could find no statute to prohibit the practice of phrenology, but in discharging Clark advised him to leave town. Clark replied that he had an engagement to lunch with friends and to deliver a lecture. He was, he said, a graduate of the American Institute of Phrenology and had read the heads of thousands of persons.

He delivered his lecture and departed, asserting that he would ascertain in a civil court whether a policeman could lock up innocent persons.