ol. 11, No. 15

Thomson, Ga., Thursday, April 9, 1914

Price, Five Cents

he Leo Frank Case. Does the State of Georgia Deserve

P. ADOLPH OCHS, a most This Nation - Wide Abuse?

brought about by the clamor of the mob. The police were on trial because of some fifteen unpunished murders in Georgia. A victim had to useful servant of the Wall

interests, runs a Tory per in New York, whose chief end in seems to be to uphold all the atrocities of Privilege and all of the monstrous mands of Big Money.

The name of the paper is, The Times; and, te its owner, it is an ox that knows its mas-

Jones years ago, Mr. Brisbane, the great newsgreat delitor of all the great Hearst news-The It author of the great book known The Hearst Editorials," took occasion to things of the worthy Adolph Ochs, and to things about him and his paper that were rattle. In food to make the windows rattle.

fact, the windows did rattle; for the grant Ochs took his head out of his masscrib long took his head out of his long enough to file a damage suit The great Hearst paper.

The case had not been on file more than a the so, ere the redoubtable Brisbane came the back at the worthy Ochs, in another merciless at the worthy Ocns, in merciless, athing a more caustic, merciless, thing, flaggellative and actionable than its

Brisbane commenced this second attack by s, in effect, "Ochs has brought a damage against us because we told the truth on him thus on him, some more truth on him, thus give him the chance to file another

But no second suit was filed; and the first

If Mr. Adolph Ochs continues to libel the people of Georgia in his Wall Street organ, I will have to look up the Brisbane editorials, and republish them. They are in my scrapbooks, somewhere, and they will read spicily at this particular time, when The Times is viciously lying about the courts and the people of Georgia. Especially, as the great Hearst papers are helping him do it.

On March 20, 1914, there appeared in Mr. Ochs' Wall Street sheet the following:

LIKENS FRANK TO BEILIS.

Same Clamor Heard in Georgia as in Russia, Says Rabbi.

Special to The New York Times. Philadelphia, March 21.—The State of Georgia was arraigned for injustice in the conviction of Leo M. Frank for murder by Rabbi Henry Berkowitz today in a sermon at Rodeph Shalom

Synagogue. He said: "Frank's conviction on a charge of murder was

IN CLUBS OF TEN ONLY,

With cash accompanying the order, can The Weekly Jeffersonian or Watson's Magazine, be offered at the rate of fifty cents for a year.

Where lists containing less than ten names, are sent, the subscriptions will be entered only for six months.

There can be no deviation from this rule.

be found. "The officers of the law, sworn to preserve peace, openly encouraged the mob. Wild stories utterly without basis were set affoat. One of these was that, Frank, being a Jew, the Jews would spend unlimited funds to insure his acquittal. The court and jury were intimidated. The Judge made the astounding confession that after hearing all the testimony he was unable to decide whether the defendant was guilty or not, nevertheless he refused a new trial and inflicted a sentence of the extreme penalty-death.

"Some months ago, when the infamous Beilis trial was being enacted in Russia, the press, the pulpit, the platform, and the people of this land united in ringing denunciation of the scandalous injustice. Our sense of decency and fairness was outraged, and now what a sense of shame comes over us as we painfully realize that within the borders of this fair land it has become possible for scenes to be enacted not unlike those of Russia. The State of Georgia is on trial."

The Rabbi compares Georgia to Russia, a happy comparison which I myself have made upon occasion. For instance, when an unknown, non-existent "Martial Law" was nevertheless proclaimed and put in force, and civilians were shot down like so many maddogs, because they were peaceably and legitimately using streets that they were accustomed to use, and which no visible signs, or barriers notified them not to use—then, indeed, my indignation knew no bounds save those of the

(CONTINUED ON PAGE SIX.)

the Atlanta Politicians and Corporations Dictate

The other day I was talking

with a couple of old friends, in my own doby, and the Private-Secretary Ulm came upman of the Execuband Office in Atlanta, who has been handed from one Governor to another, until he sometimes than the sometimes more of a governor than the

Some years ago, Ulm soured on my stomach, soda to been able to take enough politi-

One of get rid of the Ulm acidity.

Marked, these two old friends of mine had addressing himself to me, "Ulm he regrets very much that Mr. Watson not understand him."

The understand him."

Very and said, "No, it's not that. What and said, "No, it's not that."

And him "uch regrets is, that I do under-

When I learned by private grapevine before the When I learned by private grape the Danta that Ulm had gone before the Week Democratic Committee at its session Week, and had made a strenuous effort to bolish and had made a strenuous en the County Unit plan, in the Proaching County Unit plan, Senatorial election, I was not

Governor Private Secretary to His Excellency, Slaton. Ulm John Marmalade Slaton. Ulm Mayed for governor, in 1908, because he was the Was to the County Unit plan. Was the Hon. Clark Howell who wrote in the Spring of that memorable year,

to the People of Georgia? calling on me to notice that Smith's Executive Committee had set aside the County Unit plan. Clark was very angry. If he hadn't. been so fat and short winded, he would have swelled with indignation.

I was in Florida at the time, and had not seen what Smith's rubber-stamp Committee had done. Clark jogged my attention, and wrote me the facts, calling for war.

Well, we went to war, in behalf of the Constitutional rights of the small country counties. Clark and I went to doing about and killing bears. There was an awful racket, and Smith resisted the 'ipicac with all his Goliath strength.

But Clark and I were too much for him, and we got the medicine down, and he had to "throw up." Lost the governorship, you see, by going back on his own promises concerning the County Unit plan.

Then, he waited two years before demanding another office. They must have been long, lonesome years.

But in 1910, he ran again vowing before high heaven that never again would he molest the County Unit plan. He also delivered himself most virtuously on every possible public question. Whenever there were two sides to any question, he took both.

In public, he was against the corporations: in private he wasn't. In public, he was against the whiskey interests: in private he wasn't.

By lavish expenditure of money, and the artful playing of a double game, he managed to get back in office, by a very small majority. He wouldn't have got that, if he and Judge Hines had not concocted the perfidious letter which misled my own following.

The moment, he was sure of the nomination, the treacherous man struck again at the County Unit plan, securing its abolition under

the famous "Rube Arnold Rules." So universal was the wrath of the deceived people of the small Country Counties, that an opposition campaign was immediately commenced-and it was because of this that Smith tried to have me assassinated.

He didn't quite get me; and our campaign resulted in a stinging rebuke to him and to his Rube Arnold Rules.

THAT VICTORY PUT HIM IN A MINORITY.

The politicians appear to have forgotten, that the last time the peope had a chance at Smith, THEY SWATTED HIM!

But Smith did not forget it. Therefore, The was afraid to go before them when he became a candidate for the Senate. He sneaked behind his rubber-stamp Committee, (CONCLUDED ON PAGE SIX.)

THE JEFFERSONIAN

The Jeffersonian

Issued Every Thursday. Office of Publication: THOMSON, GA.

SUBSCRIPTION PRICE \$1.00 Per Year. Advertising Rates Furnished on Application.

Entered as second-class matter, Dec. 8, 1910, at the post office at Thomson, Georgia, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

If the above date appears on the label on your paper it means that your subscription expires this month. Subscriptions are discontinued promptly on date of expiration. RENEW NOW.

The Jeffersonians cannot assume responsibility for unsolicited manuscripts or letters sent them. When found available, they are published; if accompanied by stamps for return postage, they are returned if not available.

THOMSON, GA., APRIL 9 1914.

Shall the Atlanta Politicians and Corporations Dictate to the People of Georgia?

(CONCLUDED FROM PAGE ONE.)

and bought his way through the Legislature, and nominated himself Senator.

Remembering the rebuke the people gave him, when Jos. M. Brown was re-elected Governor, the Hon. Goliath Smith endeavored to have the Executive Committee, last week, AGAIN set aside the County Unit rule, so far as it applied to the Senatorial race.

In other words, he wanted the chance to buy votes in the big cities, and stuff the ballot boxes, so that the vote of Fulton County, for instance, would overbalance thirty, or forty small country counties.

Who was it that presented this infamous proposition to the Executive Committee?

Why, it was Ulm, the Private Secretary of Governor John Marmalade Slaton!

And this outrageous attempt to virtually disfranchise the small country counties, was backed up by Clark Howell and Albert How-

Do you smell a rat, anywhere?

It is a shocking thing to see Jack Slaton lined up with Hoke Smith, trying to cheat the country counties out of their Constitutional rights.

If Jack had shown his hand two years ago, he never would have been elected.

It is an amazing thing to see Clark and Albert Howell lined up with Smith, trying to cheat the small country counties.

Those Atlanta politicians and corporations need not think that they can get away with their back-room slate. The Atlanta Ring cannot hog both the Senatorships.

They need not think that the men who voted for Slaton two years ago, will follow him into Smith's camp. He can eat buzzard himself, if he wants to: but he cannot make those who were his friends eat it.

That Atlanta bunch seem to think that when they pool their issues, and apportion the pie among themselves-bringing all three of the Atlanta daily papers into the pool-the Balance of the State cannot help themselves.

WE WILL SHOW THEM THEIR MIS-TAKE.

No such foul deal as they have made can run the gauntlet in Georgia.

The Handbook of Politics by Thos. E. Watson, is a book every American citizen should read. Contains every party platform; fourth edition almost exhausted. Price, 50c, postpaid. The Jeffersonian Publishing Company, Thomson, Ga.

THE FRANK CASE.

Does the State of Georgia Deserve This Nation-Wide Abuse?

(CONTINUED FROM PAGE ONE.)

vocabulary, and I denounced the infernal murderers in the language they deserved.

Did Mr. Adolph Ochs and his Times interest themselves about Russian methods in this State, when Dorn, Christie and Baker were shot down in the streets of Augusta?

No: Ochs and the Times were silent. Why? Mr. James R. Gray and his Journal were silent. Why?

Were the lives of those three Gentiles less valuable than the life of one Jew?

Was it because a Jewish officer, Abram Levy, was one of the murderers of those three Gentiles?

Or, was it because the officers had been called upon to protect the sacred power-house of a Wall Street corporation, and were jackasses enough not to put a cordon of soldiers around the house, instead of mentally closing the streets and bridges to traffic?

Baker, Dorn and Christie were not accused of any crime: they had not been arrested: they had not been pronounced "Guilty," by twelve responsible citizens whose entire fitness to try the case had first been passed on by the Jury Commissioners, then by official examination in open court, then by the LAW-YERS OF THE DEFENSE WHO ACCEPTED THEM.

No: Dorn, Baker and Christie died without knowing why. They lost the Constitutional guaranty of life, without knowing why. The State through its military shed their innocent blood without any legal cause whatsoever; and if the old Law of Moses still breathes the breath of life, that innocent blood, crying heavenward, is yet to be avenged.

But Mr. Adolph Ochs and his Times did not utter a word of condemnation: the mere fact that the property of a Wall Street corporation was thought to require such an unusually savage Russian butchery of men who were in no way connected with the strike, and who were absolutely in the peace of God and the State—this was enough for the Wall Street ox that knows his master's crib.

It was also enough for James R. Gray, and his Journal.

There is another Russian spot on our map that has escaped the attention of the vigilant Ochs. One of these is in the mining district of West Virginia, where capitalists ran an armored train through a village in which the workmen lived, and fired indiscriminately upon those workmen, wounding and killing.

In that district men were clubbed, bayonetted, shot, imprisoned, tried before "Courts" that are unknown to our law, and sentenced to terms of years in the penitentiary -the drum-head court-martial seizing a power which Generals Grant, Sherman, Lee and Johnston never dreamed of exercising.

The militia officers arrogated to themselves the right to throw civilians into military jails, subject them to military trial, denying them attorneys and witnesses, and condemning them to monstrous terms in the State penitentiary!

Did Russia ever do anything as bad as that?

It certainly has never done anything worse, because nothing worse could be done by any government this side of hell.

But did the Atlanta Journal and Mr. Ochs' Times makes any outcry over this? Not at all. It was the crime of the ravenous and lawless capitalists; and, for such crimes, neither the Times, nor the Journal have any Sinaitic thunders.

But we have the Russian methods in other

sections than the much-abused South: them in Colorado and Michigan right and have had them there for months. who were selected because of their fitte brutal work, have been clubbing the workers, have been torturing them, have keeping them in damp, unhealthy jalls cut off their communications with the world by refusing them the use of the have banished them from the State even had the unspeakable meanness, or ice, barbarity, and contempt of law to cute an old woman, "Mother Jones manner more savage than Russia's P tion of another old woman.

Have Ochs, and Gray, the Times and Journal, been denouncing the Cossack me of the capitalists in Colorado! No.

Has Rabbi Berkowitz done so! not.

Who makes a business of furnishing to do the brutal work of the mine-owner Is the Ascher Agency unknown

The Ascher Agency has been sending Adolph Ochs? to Colorado—men who were selected of their fitness for Cossack service and

Surely Mr. Ochs knows this: surely sian work. Rabbis know it.

Is the Burns Agency unknown to

The Burns Agency has been sending Adolph Ochs? to Colorado—men who were selected be of their Co of their fitness for Cossack service and sian work.

Surely, Mr. Ochs knows this: surely Rabbis know it.

If that noisy braggart, William J. would like me to specify some of the who areas to specify some by the land who areas to who were furnished by the Burns Ag I will do so.

Any Agency which will make a business of the ploying the employing thugs to commit crimes the workingmen, is, itself, capable of crime.

Such Agencies are a menace to lander: and the order; and they ought to be put out of pust of the contract of ness, by Congressional legislation, just put the Pinkerton "Deputies" out of bush by Act of Congressional legislation. by Act of Congress, 20 years ago.

Any so-called detective of crime becomes a party to crime, by hiring who are expected to commit crime, ough self to be put behind the bars, and amenable to law as accessory-before-the to every an interest and accessory-before-the to every accessory-before-th to every crime committed by his hireling.

That is one 1.1

That is good law; and it is sound I liev. policy.

The Ascher Agency and the Burns Agency and the Burns Agency in the doing of such criminal work been done by their hirelings in Colorad Wichigan Michigan, are nothing more than who abettors of the barbarities of criminal Money.

The men who sweep the gutters slums of New York and Chicago, search for desperadoes that will beat up work and terrorize laborers into submission to bolical outrage, are none too good to territines witnesses, buy evidence, cause witnesses, buy evidence, cause witnesses disappear, fabricate statements for new pers, and blacken the names of juros judges, against whom not a breath of seath has ever blown.

Is it the Burns Agency that inspire infamous cartoon in "Puck," where pictures the Scales of Justice in Georgia being forced downward by race-prel mob pressure, and police brutality in innocent Employee innocent Frank sits in the other scale?

Is it the Burns Agency which causes the and Mr. T. Ochs and Mr. James R. Gray to be so state willing to black willing to blacken the name of the State Georgia? Is it the Burns Agency which inspired the Georgia?

Atlanta preachers, and the Rabbi Berkowitz? The Rabbi says that the State of Georgia is on trial.

For what? And before whom?

100

She is arraigned because the evidence of a negro witness was the corner-stone of the case against Frank—the negro being a daily and not of Frank at the place of the crime, and nearer to him, it seems, than any one else employed there.

Other witnesses, white and unimpeachable, establish on the established facts which put Frank on the open road to the crime: other witnesses, white and unimpeachable, pointed to the little girl, Frank's overtures—therefore, the one girl who had inflamed his desire to possess her.

Other witnesses, white and unimpeachable, Frank's I lovely and innocent maid into Frank's keeping in such a way that a voice from on high might have echoed the terrible The stion that rang upon the outskirts of Eden HER? HAVE YOU DONE WITH

Where is Mary Phagan? She went to you: she was received by you hands by the friend to you: she was received hands by the friend who went there with her: she was her? again seen alive: what did you do with

BROTHER?", WHERE IS

And the brother was dead; and upon his first baront de face rained the first tears of the Death stall as the first Murder and the first beath stall as the first Murder and the world. Death stalked, red-handed, into the world.

Great God! That any sane man could folpower the evidence which put into Frank's then fail whom he lusted after; and then fail to realize the awful nature of the himself to realize the awful nature himself he could not account for her, nor for himself.

It was this that made Conley so destructive
to his deil ar

to his daily associate, Leo Frank.

The negro could account for the girl and simply, so haturally; and he did it so simply, so haturally, so truthfully, that Luther Rosser, white mental giant, was utterly unable to. Rosser with the ignorant black man, although Rosser spent eight hours in the mental con-

Luther Rosser may not have known that he himself strengthened the State's case enormously when he made his ludicrous failure,

In the State of Virginia, the young libertone, H. C. Beattie, was convicted of murderof Georgia, on less evidence than the State of Georgia wife, on less evidence than the Beattie by to the Form yelled "Judicial Murder!" Beattie continued to say, "You are murdering

So persistent was he in making this assertion, persistent was he in making this a the evidence hany people who had not read the cent, that many people who had not real inno-Shaken by who were not convinced, were shaken by painful doubts.

But the painful doubts.

ginia stood courts and the Governor of Vir-Then stood firm FOR THE LAW.

Then, two hours before his execution, Beat-broke a hours before his execution, HIS the broke down AND CONFESSED HIS AWFUL down AND CONFESSED, inno-

Rosser, highly probable that after Burns, Rosser, highly probable that after the New Arnold, the Journal, the Georgian, ather sensational New York Times, and other sensational battence have exhausted the money and the patience of the rich Hebrews of New York and Atlanta, this Georgia rapist and mur-Beattie confessed. Will confess his crime, as

If Leo Frank has not had a fair trial, how Would we start about giving him one?

Every privilege known to jurisprudence the had the Burns' detectives, he had all propare his defense. the time had the Burns' detectives, he made he needed to prepare his defense,

he had every conceivable opportunity to rake the earth for evidence.

He not only employed attorneys before he was accused, but there is a vehement suspicion that he attempted to detail one of his own lawyers to associate himself with the prosecution—in order that the able Solicitor-General, Hugh Dorsey, might be handicapped by a spy in his camp.

That was one of the most singular phrases of the case, and it has evidently escaped the attention of Mr. Ochs, Mr. Gray and the

If that exceedingly suspicious movement Rabbi. of the lawyer who has been closer to William J. Burns than any other lawyer in Georgia, meant what it seemed to mean, it would bear the interpretation that Frank knew from the first that his case was desperate.

When the boisterous boaster, William J. Burns, came to Atlanta to personally work at saving Frank from punishment, his own conduct was that of a clumsy amateur.

He declared that he had not come to save Frank, or to work for Frank, nor predisposed to favor Frank: he had come as the impartial champion of Justice, to find the guilty man.

Burns has perhaps told many a lie as big as that, but none bigger. He might have been willing to have done it; but he did not do so, because he couldn't. Nobody could. Not

even T. Bosh Felder. The next amateurish blunder that William J. made was, when he visited Frank in the Tower, and gave out the statement that he found Frank to be normal. Not a pervert, not a degenerate, not a neurotic: but "nor-

Thus the artless Burns drew public attenmal." tion to Frank's horrible face, and provoked comment upon his abnormal features. No real detective would have stumbled like that. It was just such an asinine mistake as would come natural to a brassy pretender.

I wonder if Burns ever studied any scientific work on morbid, diseased sexuality. Even he might learn something from standard books of that kind. He might begin with the monumental volume of Dr. R. v. Krafft-Eding, German Specialist, called "PSYCHO-PATHIA SEXUALIS, A Medico-Forensic

The physiognomy of Frank is altogether Study." unusual. Burns himself confessed as much when he fished up the boy pictures of his client, showing his face prior to the age of

Burns confesses this, also, by having recent puberty. pictures taken in such a way as to disguise the profile. In the recent pictures, the eyes are refused, just as the Emperor of Germany refuses his deformed arm, in all of his por-

In the recent pictures of Frank, those bulgtraits. ing, satyr eyes are covered by the lids, as Frank bends his head to read. In that pose, you miss the protruding fearfully sensual.

lips; and also the animal jaw. flambuoyant Thus the self-advertising, Burns focusses attention on a weak point,

"where the hand of God is seen." No negro witness put those unfailing signs of abnormal sexuality on Leo Frank: nature did it. Why? Nature runs into freaks, very often, else we would have no such monstrosity as Oscar Wilde, who sang better than an

angel and sinned worse than a beast. Why do we have the men of Sodom, and the crime of Gomorrha? Why do we have men whose lust craves other men: women who crave other women; and men who cannot be satisfied by natural intercourse with the other

No man can explain it; but all men know sex ? about the thing itself.

You trace a purse into my possession, and then it disappears: the law tells me I must

account for it. The best law is common sense: he who last had possession of the lost article, must tell what went with it.

The person last seen with a murdered person, must give an account of himself, during the period of time involved in the crime.

Frank could not do that; and that was the millstone about his neck.

Moving heaven and earth to bring influence to bear, Miss Jane Addams of Chicago, was reached. Her letter to "My Dear Mrs. McDougald," is on its travels throughout the whole newspaper world.

Miss Addams thinks "the women of Atlanta, through their organizations, or through petitions," should protest against the

execution of Frank.

Miss Jane Addams did not hear the evidence in this case, and does not claim to have even read it. She has not been solemnly sworn as a juror, and impressed into painful duty as an agent of the law.

Jurors do not love to find men guilty. Judges do not love to sentence men to death.

On the contrary, it often wrings the very hearts of these agents of the law to have to do their duty.

They are the soldiers of peace. It is their part to beat back the cohorts of vice and crime. If they fail of their sworn duty, Society dissolves, and lawlessness asserts the old principle of "Might is Right."

The humbler the citizen, the weaker in power, the poorer in purse, the more should he be the unflinching supporter of law, order, and the judicial processes by which the State endeavors to fix guilt and punish it.

We cannot try cases in the parlor, on the street-corners, in the barber-shops, in the pulpit, in the synagogue, in the newspapers, or

by venal detective agencies.

Least of all, should any man be screened because of his race. Nothing more disastrous could happen than a general loss of confidence in those methods which the experience of ages has convinced us to be the best we can do, in the way of trying persons accused of crime.

Those methods are not perfect, God knows! but what other human institution claims perfection!

We cannot give Frank more than we give to others.

We cannot have one law today, and another tomorrow; one law for the Jew, and another for the Gentile.

I cannot think of anything more likely to do permanent and grievous harm to the great Hebrew people, than to have the idea go abroad that they must be treated better than we treat ourselves.

Prejudice is always easy to excite, and hard to soothe. This storm of vilification aimed at our State, because of one degenerate Jew, who has had every right known to the law, will not do us any harm, but may do its instigators a great deal.

From all parts of the world, Jews come here because of our laws, and our institutions. They are not doing themselves any good, by purchasing detectives, newspapers, artists and other reckless slanderers to indict a whole State.

If, as the Rabbi alleges, "the mob" took control of the Frank case, why did not his lawyers see it, and move for a change of venue?

The law is extraordinarily liberal in that respect. No case can come under "mob" infiuence, unless the defendant and his lawyers are entirely negligent.

Three negroes charged with a crime similar to Frank's are now in the same Tower, their case having been moved from Jefferson County because "mob" influence was feared.

Are we to be told that the lawyers and detectives and "strikers" for Leo Frank, were less intelligent and less diligent than the attor-

neys of these three negroes?

Strange to say, the negro newspaper published in Atlanta, The Independent, is not at all incensed by the conviction of the negroes, but is mightily agitated by the conviction of Frank. It says that Frank has not had a fair trial. Did the three negroes have one?

If Frank did not have a fair trial, it is passing strange that his able lawyers could

not specify the unfairness.

Miss Jane Addams, speaking in Frank's behalf, says, "an execution is so desperately irrevocable."

Yes, death is very irrevocable. Possibly, Jane Addams knew that, several years ago. She may have learned it, when death strode into her own family. In any event, it is not more "desperately irrevocable" than it was

when Mary Phagan died.

Only "a factory girl!" Just a sweet young daughter of the common people, going out, day by day, to work at a pitiful wage. Not a girl of the Four Hundred: not a girl of the silks and the diamonds; not a young woman of the Smart Set, of Palm Beach, of Druid Hill, or Peachtree Street.

Going to Frank's office to collect a trifle due on her work, she is never seen again, while the stars were in her eyes, and the roses

on her cheeks.

Mother waits-mother waits in vain. The aching hearts will ache for evermore. The little factory girl who held to her innocence, will live always in the memories of the people of Georgia.

In all the cruel, cruel annals of crime, there is no fouler spot than that which was made in that pencil factory. In all the gruesome horrors that haunt us in our sleep, there is no

match to this.

Death sudden, death hideous; death, after mortal agony, and terror, and pleadings, and moans of heart-breaking despair, was what came to the pure girl, when she went to the impure man to get her pay-and was left in his power.

Jane Addams says that "an execution is desperately irrevocable:" Mary Phagan found

nt 80.

The children of the poor who perished because of the Ice-trust greed and criminality of Charles W. Morse, had no one to speak for them, when the millionaire murderer was shamming the "dying man," with the "incurable disease."

Mary Phagan, another child of the poor, has no Burns Detective Agency to rush into the papers every morning, with just such sensational dope as the manipulators of the underground machinery think most effective.

That they have muzzled the three Atanta dailies, counts for little. It may even be money unwisely spent. Those three Atlanta papers are notoriously purchasable. They are open, or silent partners in this Burns-Felder campaign for Frank, just as they were in the Felder-Seeley campaign for Charles W. Morse.

The methods are exactly the same. The daily "story" in the papers, is of the same faith and order. The flagitious disregard for truth is the same. The insolent assumption that the public is a gullible goose, is exactly the same. And the success of the fraud may be the same.

If the organized womanhood of Atlanta should forget about the indescribable outrage committed upon "the factory girl," in the factory, and should bestir itself to save the degenerate beast who most undoubtedly raped and killed Mary Phagan—the womanhood of Atlanta will have done something which they had much better have left undone.

Had Mary Phagan been the little sister of Jane Addams, how would Jane feel about it?

If Mary Phagan had been the daughter of

Mr. Hearst, or Mr. Gray, or Mr. Ochs, or Mr. Burns, how would they have felt about it?

Mr. Brisbane and Mr. Ochs are now bedfellows: the Hearst papers and the Ochs papers are working hand in glove to defeat the law, to defeat justice, and to save a pervert, whose crime is as foul as that of any negro rapist in the history of our State.

"The State of Georgia is on trial," says the Rabbi, says Ochs, says Burns, say the Hearst

papers.

All right: we accept the challenge! The State of Georgia is on trial; and we will demonstrate to the world that all the money which Burns can lift out of the rich Hebrews of Atlanta and New York, shall not prevent us from meteing out equal and exact justice

to the degenerate Jew, Leo Frank.

We did not spring the race issue. Frank himself did it. After his case was finally adjudicated in the Supreme Court, the floodgates of garrulity burst open, and a torrent of talk overflowed the country. Frank talked for publication: his attorneys talked; his editors talked; his "strikers" talked: and then came the Great Detective, who not only talked incessantly himself, but kept the other talkers talking, until the rising tide of verbosity not only submerged the old landmarks in Georgia, but drifted its flotsam and jetsam to the uttermost regions of the censorious North.

Whatever could be said that would defame the people of Atlanta and the judiciary of the State of Georgia, was published far and wide, and it all emanated from the same fountain head in Atlanta. Never before did any criminal who had exhausted in his own behalf, every known right, privilege and precedent of the law, resort to such a systematic and unprecedented crusade against civilized tribunals, orderly methods, and legally established

results. If Frank's lawyers, detectives and newspapers are to have their way, then the Code, the Jury System-proud achievements of the most illustrious lawyers that ever lived-will have suffered a degradation not known since the packing of juries in the New Orleans cases, a decade ago, so infuriated the people, that they

rose in their wrath and wreaked vengeance upon those Italian assassins.

During all the stormy times of the Pitt-Eldon regime in England, our jury system rode triumphantly through its dangers. One intrepid lawyer, Erskine, was able to vindicate the noble truth that the effort of our judicial system is, to get twelve honest men in the jury box.

So proud was Erskine of the fact that our system, had come out of the terrible ordeal untarnished and with added glory, he took for his motto, to be emblazoned on the panels

of his carriage— "Trial by jury."

That which the most consummate of English advocates gloried in, we are asked to be ashamed of; and we are asked to condemn the verdict of Frank's jury, when Frank himself is utterly unable to show that the law did not give him the twelve honest men in the box.

What more could it have given? What

more did it have to give?

Nobody compelled Frank to become a citizen of Georgia. He came of his own free will. Has he any more rights than a native?

If Frank had been living in London at the time he crushed the life out of that human flower, little Mary Phagan, he would have long since gone the swift road that Dr. Crippin travelled to his merited doom.

"Whosoever sheds man's blood, by man shall his blood be shed." So reads the sternly just law of the great old indomitable, unconquerable race from which we take so much of our religion, our law, and our democracy.

Is Frank to be an exception to Mosaic law? Is alleged race-prejudice to save him from the just penalties of the law?

God knows, my sympathy is profound for

those who sin through sudden passion, who are drawn astray by some irresistible temptation, who are lured to vice and crime by intense love or burning hate. For the man who kills another openly and who says to Society—"Yes, I did it! I had a right to do it. Here I am, take me, and try me!"-for such a man I have the broadest charity.

But for the man who waylays the road, or who basely stands outside a dwelling at night and murders the inmate who is undressing to go to bed—and whose lamp shows him to the coward outside-I have no pity whatsoever.

When the Striplings escaped the gallows, the courts furnish another illustration of the extreme tendency of jurors to be lenient.

So, in a case like Frank's, where a married man, a college-bred man, a man of the most double life I lead to the life of the life double life, debases himself to unnatural and inordinate lusts, and sets himself to the foul purpose of entrapping the one pure girl who was trying to save herself to be some good man's wife—I admit, I freely admit, that it is in me to be as stern as the Law of the Twelve Tables.

Somebody must resist the dissolvent power of Big Money and a muzzled press, or Society

will fall to pieces.

In all the imperial limits of Atlanta, were there not enough purchaseable women, or giddy girls to sate the lusts of Frank? Why was he hell-bent to take this one little ewe lamb?

With his command of money and of opportant tunity, was he not the man of many flocks and herds?

herds?

Let us turn to The Book, and read the old, old story, ringing yet with the righteous wrath of the Dg yet with the righteous wrath of the Prophet, and moving men's hearts yet with its infinite pathos:

"And the Lord sent Nathan unto David--and he came unto him and said unto him There were two men in one city ---- the one rich ---- and the other ---- POOR ---- and rich man had EXCEEDING MANY flocks and herds ---herds ----- but the poor man had NOTHING which had which had not man had which had which had not mp which he had nourished up ---- and it grew up together with him and with HIS CHILDRENit did eat of HIS OWN meat ---- and drink of HIS OWN cup ---- and lay in his BOSOM --and was unto him as a DAUGHTER.

"And there came a traveller unto the rich man and his Own ared to take of his own way and his OWN herd ---- to dress for the wayfaring man that was come unto him ----- but took ---- the POOR MAN'S LAMB and dressed IT for the man that was come unto him.

"And David's anger was GREATLY kindled against the MAN---- and he said to Nathan hath 'AS THE LORD LIVETH—the man that hath done THIS thing shall surely die ---- and he shall restore the shall restore the lamb FOREFOLD ---- because he did this this he did this thing and because he had no pity ---- And Nathan said to David ---- THOU ---- art the man!"

As it was in the beginning, is now, and er shall beever shall be-

Popery, in Its Relation to Civil and Religious Liberty.

THERE has been such a demand for the February Watson's Magazine, in which a reply was full reply was made to Cardinal Gibbons amazingly false sermon, that we have put the

The reader can easily verify every state article in booklet form. ment made by Mr. Watson, in answering Cardinal Gibbons, provided the reader is suffi-ciently interested to consult the standard authorities

We will send the booklet at 10 cents a copy, epaid: 10 cents a copy, prepaid; 10 copies for 75 cents; 100 copies for \$6.00.

THE JEFFERSONIAN PUB. CO. Thomson, Ga.