FROM PULPITS COMES CALL FOR NEW TRIAL FOR FRANK; BURNS HERE TO OPEN PROF The Atlanta; Mar 16, 1914; ProQuest Historical Newspapers Atlanta Constitution (1868 - 1945) pg. 1

ROM PULPITS COMES CALL FOR NEW TRIAL FOR FRANK: **BURNSHERETO OPEN PROBE**

Dr. L. O. Bricker, Dr. A. R. Holderby and Dr. Julien Rodgers Deliver Sermons on Case on Sunday and Dr. Fred A. Line Will Speak on Next Sunday-All Urge Another Hearing.

"I WOULDN'T HANG A DOG ON CONLEY'S TESTIMONY," DECLARES DR. RODGERS

Dr. Bricker Gives Three Reasons for New Trial--Not Likely He Could Have Fair Showing in Atlanta, Says Dr. Holderby. Frank Elated Over Arrival of Famous Detective.

The arrival of Detective Burns to begin the probe of the Mary Phagan murder mystery, and the call from Atlanta pulpits for a new trial for Frank, were the big developments of Sunday in the sensational case, which nas centered the eyes of the United

nas centered the eyes of the United States on Atlanta.

Sermons were delivered on Sunday morning by Dr. A. R. Holderby, pastor of the Moore Memorial church; by Dr. L. O. Bricker, pastor of the First Christian church; by Dr. Julien, Rodgers, pastor of the East Atlanta Baptiss church, and announcement was made that Dr. Fred A. Line, of the Universalist church, will preach on this subject on next Sunday. on next Sunday.

In all three pulpits the plea for a new trial was based on the ground that Frank had not been given a fair chance in the first court hearing on account of the inflamed condition of the public mind at that time. What Preachers

Say of Case.

"I wouldn't hang/a yellow dog on Jim Conley's testimony, much less white man who comes into court with a character heretofore unchallenged,"
Dr. Rodgers told his congregation.
"I would feel it my duty to appeal for a fair trial for any man," said Dr. Hoderby. "This, Frank has not had, as

every unbiased man must admit; nor it likely that he can get such trial in Atlanta on account of the prejudice against him. It would be unfair to hang a sheep-killing dog upon the cyl-dence upon which Frank has been convicted."

"I remember," stated Dr. Bricker,
"how bitterly I resented a man saying in my presence Frank has not had
a fair trial." He had had the sort of
trial I wanted him to have and to admit
that it had not been fair would have been to have admitted that I was unfair and unjust and we do not readily do that." Then Dr. Bricker proceeded to give three reasons why Frank should have a new trial.

The detective and Miss Burns were met at the Terminal station by a large gathering of. friends, including members of the convicted man's coun-sel-Herbert Haas and Leonard Haas. Also by Dr. B. Wildauer and Dan Kline, who engaged him on the Frank case.

McKnight Badly Hurt.

Albert McKnight lingered between 'e and death Sunday in Fairhaven life hospital, to which he was carried early Saturday night after having been seriously injured in attempting to swing from an incoming Southern frain at Roseland.

Attending physicians report this his injuries are likely to result fatelly, al-though he passed an unexpectedly rest-ful day Sunday. It is feared that he is wounded internally. He sustained numerous deep gashes and cuts on head and face, as well as the shoulders

and upper body. No one was permitte unday. He was in a condition throughout the permitted ed to see him semi-conscious Sunday. He was in a semi-conscious condition throughout the day, and able to talk only at times, and then fitfully. Dr. Thomas H. Hancock, who attended him, endeavored to ascertain his whereabouts during the period of the negro's mysterious disappearance since having signed the sensational affidavit some weeks ago, in which he repudiated his testimony at the Frank trial.

McKnight, however, was unable to talk. He could not even explain the cause of his injuries. Railway officials, though, state that he was thrown head-

tank. He could be come and the cause of his injuries. Railway officials, though, state that he was thrown headling upon the roadbed when he tried to swing from a speeding passenger train about 7 o'clock Saturday night while passing Roseland, a wayside station about four miles out of Atlanta. The police declare that McKnight was returning under cover to Atlanta after his disappearance, and, in effort to clude the detectives, made the fafal leap at Roseland, from which place he intended stealing into the city. Me-Knight gave his residence Saturacy night as 383 Washington street, where Knight gave his residence Sanight as 383 Washington street,

his wife, Minola, also a witness in the Frank trial, now resides.

Kalb county, has succeeded in obtaining thirty or more names of Deker

Frank Cheered.

Frank, in talking with a Constitution reporter Sunday afternoon, was cheered by the news of Burns' arrival. He had nothing new to say for publication, nowever. He was visited continually during the day by friends, many of whom remained at his cell for hours.

J. B. Armstrong, a resident of De-

ing thirty or more names of DeKalb citizens to a petition for a new trial for Frank. It has been circulated through the county for considerable while. Its introduction reads as follows:

lows: "Whereas, new evidence which tends to confirm the claim of an alibi by Leo M. Frank is being brought to light, and, whereas, we believe that under the wrought-up condition existing at the time of his trial it was impossible that a fair and impartial trial could that a fair and impartial trial could have been given, and, whereas, there does exist very serious doubt as to guilt or innocence, and, whereas, there are authenticated instances where the innocent have paid the death penalty when, by the granting of a new trial, the guilt might have been discovered, we, the undersigned, therefore, do hereby express ourselves as approving of granting Leo M. Frank a new trial, doing it voluntarily and without the knowledge of Mr. Frank."

Dr. L. O. Bricker, pastor of the First Christian church, began his sermon on Frank Sunday by saying that he fully realized that he had never before attempted such a delicate, difficult and even dangerous a task as this which he was about to undertake. "Let and in danger of offending many

He said:

"I stand in danger of offending many of those who have come to love me and in danger of losing my friends, and no man could suffer more keenly over such a loss than I, for I love my friends and crave their good opinion. I friends and crave their good opinion. I realize that I am to speak against the sentiments of nine out of ten of them that hear me. It is such an audience as a fighter would love to face, but I am no fighter. I am by nature and disposition better fitted to fulfill the office of friend and comrade and counselor. There is not money enough in the world to hire me to do what I am about to do. No plea of friend or threat of enemy could induce me to undertake this task.

Feeling of Responsibility.

undertake this task.

Feeling of Responsibility.

"It is only because I have been overwhelmed these past few weeks with a feeling of responsibility and obligation, and a haunting sense of duty which appears to me as the voice of God, and a man must do what seems to him to be right and never stop to count the cost to himself. And so do you try to be as open-minded as you can and bear with and think with your friend as he tries to interpret his duty to himself, to you, and to the city which has given him such a happy home and so many good friends.

Not Arguing Frank's Case.

"My friends, I am not arguing the

home and so many good friends.

Not Arguing Frank's Case.

"My friends, I am not arguing the innocence or guilt of Frank. I am not the man for that, nor is this the place, but I am going to submit three reasons why I believe Frank should be granted a new trial.

"The first is, that because we were in no frame of mind to have given him or any other man under the circumstances a fair and impartial trial. We were inflamed and indignant to the point of unreason, and this state of mind was inevitable and in a certain sense right and proper. We ought to be indignant over such awful things as the murder of this young girl. If the time ever comes when we can be calm and indifferent in the face of such things, it will be proven that we have lost our honor, the fineness of our manhood and womanhood and all our sensitiveness of soul.

"God help us and our civilization if the day ever dawns when we shall cease to blaze with fury before the sight of such monstrous and hideous crimes. But right here is our danger. Righteous indignation is one of the finest passions that men can ever feel, but being that it is also the most dangerous. It can so easily be unjust, cruel, merciless and pitiless. This is why religious persecutions in all ages have been such monstrosities of cruelty and barbarity.

In No Frame of Mind.

"We were in no frame of mind to have granted the prisoner a fair trial, we have granted the prisoner a fair trial, and the place of the prisoner a fair trial, we have granted the prisoner a fair trial, and the place of the prisoner a fair trial, the place of the place of the prisoner a fair trial, the place of the place

ty and barbarity.

In No Frame of Mind.

"We were in no frame of mind to have granted the prisoner a fair trial, and I will tell you why I feel so. The way into the public mind is through our own. We live and move among our human kind and take a humanity bain every time we waik the streets. We read what others read, come to share the opinion and feel as others feel, and if we stop to analyze our own mind we shall have a pretty good analysis of the public mind. Looking back now, I can see that I was in no frame of mind to have granted the accused a fair trial. I thought I was. I remember how bitterly I resented a man saying in my presence 'Frank has not had a fair trial.' He had had the sort of trial I wanted him to have, and to admit that it had not been fair would have been to have admitted that I was unfair and unjust, and we do not readily do that. We believed that he was guilty and it was the verdict we wanted rather than a trial. Personally, I was convinced of his guilt by reading the stories reflecting upon his moral character that were printed in our newspapers.

Evidence Insufficient.
"Second, I submit that Frank should have a new trial because of the ap-

"Second, I submit that Frank should have a new trial because of the apparent insufficiency of evidence under which he was convicted. We are so constituted that we can believe any-

negro against the word of a white man, but we were willing to believe the unsupported story of a drunken, worthless jailbird negro, even after he had sworn to three or four other affidavits and had admitted criminal knowledge and complicity in the crime, and was a self-confessed liar and perfurer—in the face of all that we were willing to believe his last story. The only ground on which we could have done this is that we felt Frank was guilty and were, therefore, ready to believe anything that seemed to confirm it.

"Third. I submit that Frank should have a new trial because, under the awful tension of public feeling it was next to impossible for a jury of our fellow human beings to have granted him a fair, fearless and impartial trial. Public sentiment is the mightiest force in the world; it orders revolutions, declares war, makes peace, writes laws and enforces or annuls them. Public sentiment is mightier than our constitution, mightier than the government, mightier than the government, mightier than the courts of law; and public sentiment is made up of what the majority of the people are thinking and feeling. I do not understand how it finds its way into human minds and hearts, but you walk down the streets and it gets you in some wireless way and sets you to going.

Must Have Been Impressed.

walk down the streets and it gets you in some wireless way and sets you to going.

Must Have Been Impressed.

I do not know whether the shouts of the massed people reached the judge and jury, but they could not help being deeply impressed and profoundly influenced by what the people were thinking and feeling. A juryman does not cease to he a man and human being when he becomes a juryman. He feels the awfal weight of responsibility and he does not choose the task. He does not want to carry the terrible load of deciding the fate of a human life alone, and the will not carry it alone if he can help it, he naturally wants as many as possible of his fellows to share the load of responsibility. He knows himself to be a man among men, and he instinctively searches faces in the courtroom to find out what others are thinking and feeling. He is human, also, and wants the approval of his fellows, and weats the approval of his fellows, and feels that he is safer and more likely to be right when he is acting in accordance with the thoughts of other men as good as himself. The juryman saw the soild mass of his fellow-citizens all against the accused; he knew himself to be no wiser than they, and he could not be but profoundly influenced by their attitude. I believe that they could; did as we would have done under the circumstances, but the circumstances were such that none of us could have been fair and impartial.

"Lastly, justice has nothing to fear nor to lose in granting the accused a new trial. If Frank was proven guilty once, he can be proven guilty again; facts do not change. If he was convicted by false witnesses and is a victim of a criminal conspiracy, it Jught to be known before it is too late, for the truth will be known sed and it to death an innocent man, the memory of

thing we want to believe. Belief is a function of the will. We can believe without any evidence, and once we believe every little circumstance looks like evidence. The legal fraternity of Atlanta are almost a unit in saying that there were no direct circumstances, pointing to the guilt of Frank, excepting the revolting and horrible story of the negro, Jim Conley.

"Under ordinary circumstances, we in the south do not take the word of a negro against the word of a white man, but we were willing to believe the unsupported story of a drunken, worthless jailbird negro, even after he had sworn to three or four other affidavits and complicity in the crime, and was a self-confessed liar and perjurer—in the face of all that we were willing to believe the unface of all that we were willing to believe the ilast story. The only ground on which we could have done this is that we felt Frank was guilty and were, therefore, ready to believe anything that seemed to contirm it.

"Third, I submit that Frank should have a new trial because, under the awful tension of public feeling it was next to impossible for a jury of our fellow human beings to half the world; it orders

The old provided the provided the provided of the feel of our selections, we must be good. Let us be afraid of nothing except justice, tremble before nothing save duty undone, and then no matter what men may think of us, we shall have nothing have and the nothing save duty undone, and then no matter what men may think of us, we shall be able to think well of ourselves and shall have the blessing and the approval of the God of justice and right-ousness, both here and yonder."

Dr. Holderby's

Dr. Holderby's Plea.

Dr. Holderby's

Plea.

The Watchman on the Wall' was the subject of Dr. Holderby's sermon Sunday morning at Moore Memorial church, and is in part as follows:

"The Watchman in the days of the Prophet Ezeklel was commanded to blow the trumpet and thus warn the people of the danger from the approach of the enemy.

"There is a deep spiritual meaning to these words by which we are taught the sclemm duty and responsibility of the preacher of the gospel.

"It would be well for every preacher to realize his solemn responsibility for his people and for the community in which he lives.

"It is plainly the duty of the preacher to warn men of their guilt and danger or the blood of the man who sins will be required of the Watchman.

"To warn men of their sins is preaching the gospel. Sin is sin wherever it is found, whether in the mansion of the rich or the hovel of the poor—whether it be in ruler of the nation—the judge on the bench or the man in the slums. All these are to be warned and rebuked by the gospel, and it is the business of the preacher to sound the warning.

"As a preacher of the gospel and as a citizen of Georgia, it becomes my duty for the second time to warn the state of the sin and danger of taking a human life unless it is absolutely sure that life has been duly forfeited.

"Leo M. Frank has been condemned to die. If he is the man who cruelly and inhumanely murdered an innocent child, as is alleged, he ought to suffer the extreme penalty of the law.

"I am not asserting his guilt or innocence, nor do I care any more about Frank than I would about the poorest negro in Georgia. I never heard of this man until his arrest. I would feel it my duty to appeal for a fair trial for any man.

"This Frank has not had, as every unbiased man must admit nor is it likely he can get such trial in Atlanta, on account of the prejudice against him. This should not be, but it is true.

"If the evidence against this unfortunate man is true—if there be no reassonable doubt, then he ought to suffer the penalty. But it would be

he discovered that he was not guilty, then the state of Georgia would be guilty of an atrocious murder.
"As a citizen of Georgia, f am not willing to go up to the bar of judgment with my hands recking with the

"As a citizen of Georgia, I am not willing to go up to the bar of judgment with my hands recking with the blood of my fellow man, and this is what every citizen of Georgia would be guilty of if an innocent man be hanged. It is for this reason I am making this appeal for perfect fairness and justice.

"In the name of God, who is a just God, let us be very careful, and not bring blood upon our state.

"There are some able and distinguished lawyers and judges and many other prominent men in Atlanta and in other sections of the country who take the same position as I do on this question. They have a right to their opinions, and they have a right to express their opinions, even if courts have rendered their verdlet. It is human to err, and no men are infallible.

"This is no criticism of the courts, but a simple plea for justice."

Dr. Rodgers'
Sermon.

Dr. Rodgers'
Sermon.

As a prelude to his regular Sunday night sermon at the East Atlanta Bayist church last night, Rev. Julien S. Rodgers, the pastor, touched upon the Frank case. In the course of his remarks be said:

"I don't know who killed Mary Phagan in the pencil factory last April, and I don't believe the public knows. There are mysteries that have never been cleared up. But of two things I am convinced:

"I, Leo Frank has never been fairly convicted of the crime. I wouldn't hang a yellow dog on Jim Conley's testimony, much less a 'white man, who comes into court with a character heretofore unchallenged. As to some of the other supporting testimony, there has been so much apparent jockeying and roneging, that it is impossible to tell when some of these affidavit makers are telling the truth, and when they are not. Human life is too dear to sacrifice on that kind of evidence.

"2. Until the doubt is cleared up, Leo Frank should have the benefit of it. The law grants him that, and we should not demand less than the law. The great state of Georgia can well afford to spend a king's ransom rather than hang a man about whose guilt there is, at least, a great shadow of doubt.

"I am sure, therefore, that Leo Frank should be given a new trial, and, if necessary, a change of venue. I believe, however, Atlanta, and Fulton county will give him a fair chance for his life. It is not the spirit of either to do otherwise.

"The fact that Frank is a Jew should not discredit him. His race is the miracle of the ages. It stands out conspicously for patience, forbearance and obedience to law. If Leo Frank is a criminal, it is not because he is a Jew, but in spite of it."

Line Speaks Next Sunday.

Dr. Fred A. Line, pastor of the Universallst church, did not discuss the Frank case Sunday morning or during the night services, but he made the announcement that he will discuss it in a sermon next Sanday morning.

Instead, Dr. Line discussed the Bible, instead, Dr. Line discussed the Bible, intelligence and scholarship.

Dr. Li