NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN CASE OF FRANK COME WITH A RUSH AFTER RESENTENCE The Atlanta; Mar 8, 1914; ProQuest Historical Newspapers Atlanta Constitution (1868 - 1945)

NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN CASE OF FRANK COME WITH A RUSH AFTER RESENTENCE

Repudiation of Testimony

Given on Stand by George Epps Attacked in Two Affidavits Furnished Solicitor by Father and Uncle of Boy - Assert Youth Says His Statement True and He Was Trapped Tuto Repudiation While in Birmingham.

MURDER NOTES WRITTEN IN BASEMENT ACCORDING TO EVIDENCE FOR FRANK

Paper on Which the Notes

Were Written Shows That Frank Did Not Dictate Them in His Office as Conley's Story Stated. Says Defense - Prisoner Gives Out Statement From Cell in Which He Again Asserts His Innocence. Outlook Comments Case.

Developments came thick and fact the Frank case Saturday afternoon in the Fre

in the Frank case Saturday afternoon and night.

First was the disciosure of two activations put in the hands of Solicitor Hugh Dorsey by George Epps, father of the ex-newshoy witness for the prosecution, and by the boy's uncle. W. H. Epps, of Eatonton, who swear that the youth confesses to having been trapped into making the sensational affidavit in which he recently renounced his testimony.

Next was the release of newly discovered evidence by the defense to show that the murder notes found beside Mary Phagan's body were written in the basement of the appell factory, and not on the second floor, as contended by the prosecution.

This new phase of evidence was developed from a casual examination of a photograph of the murder missive by Lemmie Quinn, a foreman in the pendil plant and witness for the defense, who claims to have discovered a heretofore unrevealed elew to the source of the famous notes, which for the most mysterious link to the entire tragedy.

NOT DISMAYED

By DEATH SHADOW.

BY DEATH SHADOW.

BY DEATH SHADOW.

Then, too, comes a statement written by the man in the Tower, in which Frank dramatically sets, his case before the people of Georgia in a lengthy document, and in which he deckness the shadow of death does not dismay him in the least. In the sight of God, he states, his innocence shall be proclaimed to the universe.

"In His name and by the honor which I hope shall be restored to me," he writes, "and by everything which a man may hold sacred, I swear that I am innocent of crime."

Persons who have followed the Frank case were decidedly interested Saturday in an editorial comment on the noted trial by The Outlook, which deals extensively with the crowds that flocked to the arraignment, and with the demonstrations which were stressed so repeatedly in the various moves by the defense to gain a new trial.

"The intensity of the hostility to the

prisoner," reads The Out ok's editorial, in part, "seems to be wholly explained by the fact the victim of the accused was a working girl, and the accused was a factory manager. The working people of this industrial city, being convinced by newspaper reports of the truth of the accusations, felt the peculiar atrocity of a crime through which a girl meets death in defending her honor against her boss."

convicted man made a polgnant appeal to the court, putting his case before the justice in words that rang through the courtroom, which contained only a small gathering of persons, mostly newspaper reporters.

FRANK ASSERTS INNOCENCE.

"In your honor's presence," spoke Frank, in opening his dramatic speech, "representing human law, and in the presence of the Supreme Judge, who at this very moment is casting the light of Ilis omnipotent eye upon me from His throne on high, I assert I am innocent of little Mary Phagan's death and have no knowledge of how it occurred."

The new murder note evidence has

The new murger note evidence has instilled great cheer into the prisoner's heart. The defense contends that they will show by the notes themselves that the copy paper on which the copy paper on which

selves that the copy paper on which they were written was obtained by the writer of the notes from the basement of the pencil factory.

It is asserted that the sheet of paper on which one of the notes was written bears the scarcely discernible name of Becker, an ex-attache of the Cotton States Belting and Supply company for which firm the sheet had pany, for which firm the sheet had once borne an order. After being returned to the pencil factory, as contended by Frank's counsel, it was thrown into the trashpile in the basement, where it was found by Conley.

EPPS

AFFIDAVIT.

The disclosure of the affidavits made by George and W. H. Epps was the first revolution of movements of the solicitor in regard to recent developments in the Frank case. The

velopments in the Frank case. The documents were made Saturday afternoon at 3 o'clock in Hugh Dorsey's office in the Thrower building.

W. H. Epps, who is a prominent business man of Eatonton, Ga., where he is superintendent of the Putnam Power and Manufacturing company, came to Atlanta Saturday at noon for the explicit purpose of conferring with Solicitor Dorsey. At 3 o'clock the conference was held, but not with the solicitor himself, as Mr. Dorsey was out of the city.

out of the city.

Those in the conference were Assistant Solicitor General Edward A.
Stephens, Detectives Pat Campbell and John Starnes and a stenographer. The affidavits were dictated by the Epps brothers, and taken by John Corrigan, notary public. The uncle of the Epps boy had recently interviewed the youth the state reformatory in Milledge-

ville.
W. H. Epps swears that on the night he learned of his nothew's repudia-tion of his testimony, and of his ac-cusations against officers of the law, he immediately caught a train for Milledgeville, where he visited the

Upon asking young Epps why the affidavit had been made, the uncle states in his affidavit that the boy replieft; "Uncle, I was trapped into it." He says that the witness was lured to Birmingham in November under the pretense of a prize-fighting engagement by a man who posed as a prize lighter, and who offered to carry

boss."

Frank was resentenced to hang Saturday on April 17, his thirtieth birthday. Standing before Judge Ben Fill in the superior court prior to the pronouncement of his day of doom, the convicted man made a polgnant appeal to the court, putting his case before

"While I knew the boy had made the trip to Alabama, learning it after his return, the first I knew of any affidavit he made over there was last Thursday night when my brother called me up from Eatonton, he having gone to Milledgeville and found out that day that an affidavit had been exacted from the boy in Alabama. The boy must evidently have been sworn to secreey or he would have told me about it before.

"Friday morning at 2 o'clock I had an interview at the Hilburn hotel with Mr. Lovvorn, the superintendent of the Milledgeville reformatory, about my son. He had come to Atlanta and called me up, and I went to see him at once. He told me that the boy had admitted to him that his statement about Black and Solicitor Dorsey in the affidavit was false.

"Mr. Lovvorn said that he had objected as superintendent of the reformatory to the men interviewing the boy and they pulled out a paper and said: 'Here, we've got an affidavit already signed by him in Birminghan, Alabama. Mr. Lovvorn asked them why they wanted it signed in Alabama and now we want it signed in Georgia.

"After showing Lovvorn the type-written affidavit already signed by George in Alabama and now we want it signed in Georgia."

"After showing Lovvorn the type-written affidavit already signed by George in Alabama, he had nothing to do but let them see the boy, Lovvorn said. Lovvorn said that he, himself, couldn't understand it, let alone the boy, and that he had to call them down once or twice to read it slower. (Signed) "GEORGE W. EPPS."

"Sworn to and subscribed before me this March 7, 1914.

"Notary Public, Fulton County."

"Notes Written in Basement."

Attorneys for the defense of Leo M. Frank on Saturday night released newly discovered evidence, which they will present in their motion extraordinary for a new trial to show that the "murder notes" which Conley swore were written in Frank's office on the second floor, but in the basement, where the body of Mary Phagan, beside which the notes were found, was discovered. This evidence is in the form of an invoice from the Cotton States Beiting and Supply company, bearing the number of the order blank upon which one of the "murder notes" was written, and purporting to show that the order blank was used between September 10 and 15, 1998, and was carried to the basement in a pile of tragh in December, 1912, some five months before the murder.

An attempt to find the original order of which the presume to the presume to the presume to the content of the presumer to the presumer to the content to the presumer to the pre

oer, 1912, some five months before the murder.

An attempt to find the original order, of which the paper used for the note was a partly obliterated carbon copy, falled. This order should have been found, it is said, among the business papers on file in the offices of the Cotton States Belting and Supply company, but was probably lost when the supply concern moved from its quarters on South Broad street to its new quarters on Whitehall street, or were lost in the fire which recently descripted the Whitehall offices of that concern. stroyed the Whitehall offices of that concern.

The note in question is the one which Conley declared on the stand was written last, and although the paper is yellow, he insisted it was "green."

The sheet bears the order number "1018," and in the center of the page

| The content of the

insinuations crept into my very trial, a in the courtroom, creeping in insidiationsly, like a thief in the night.

The virus of these damning insinuations entered the minds of the twelvemen and stole away their judicial frame of mind and their moral courage. The issue at bar was lost. The poison of the unspeakable things took its place.

of the unspeakable things took its place.
Your honor, in this presence, and before God, I earnestly ask that God in His mercy may deal lightly with those who, unwittingly I trust, have orred against me, and will deal with them according to His divine judgment!

If the state and the law wills that

Continued on Page Three.

NEW DEVELOPEMENTS IN CASE OF FRANK Continued from Page Two.

my life be taken as a blood atonement for the poor little child who was ruth-lessly killed by another, then it remains for me only to die with whatever fortitude my manhood may allow.

But I am innocent of this crime, and the future will prove it.

I am now ready for your honor's sentence. APPEAL BY PRISONER.

The prisoner's statement to the newspapers was written in Frank's cell shortly following the pronouncement of the death sentence. Frank did not seem to lose courage over the refixing of his death date. Instead, he seemed buoyant and hopeful throughout the afternoon. His statement is as follows:

buoyant and hopeful throughout the afternoon. His statement is as follows:

To the People of Atlanta:

I am condewned. The shadow of physical death does not dismay me. If such be my end, I shall go to it without fear and without qualm. I am a man born to face and endure that which the chance of Fate may bring. If my attitude, which I know, and which God knowsy comes from the consciousness of innocence, is thought by the unfair and by the unthinking to be hardlhood and brag, I cannot help it.

To those who would give a man a square deal, and to those who in their hearts with sympathy repeat, not judge not, that yo be not judged," but the simple, old, always true and immortal golden rule, "Do unto others as you would have others do unto you"—I say, that moral death is my terror. I have lived in the open. I have told the trith. I have taken my chances. I have made some success, I brought order out of some chaes. I have made some success, I brought order out of some chaes. I had a good name. I persuaded a good woman to share my fate: I met daily those with whom I had social relations, and without repreach. My schoolmates and college fellows say that I was docent, my business associates that I was honest.

Was all this fabric reared on sand?

Was I smarter than everybody else to deceive all of them all of the time?

No, I was not.

"Swear That I Am Innocent."
In the sight of God and in his name, by the honor which I hope will be restored to me, by everything which a man may hold sacred, I swear that I am innocent of crime.

Am I asking commutation of sentence? I am not. Am I asking pardon for something that I did not do? I am not. Am I asking favorable interpretation of uncontroverted evidence? I am not.

I am asking at your hands that of which, in time, every person may stand in need—that which is square, is right, is necessary—that without which the dark ages would return and witchcraft again become a religion.—a fair, square trial—with naught extenuated and with naught set down in malice, and to that I have a right.

Am I to be sacrificed to a political necessity? Am I to be a victim simply because some previously accused of crime have gone unpunished, and, therefore, somebody must be convicted out.

necessity? Am I to be a victim simply because some previously accused of crime have gone unpunished, and therefore, somebody must be convicted ot something?

Why Not a New Trial.

Is it not true that if I were guilty before and was properly proven so, that it is easily possible by the same processes and by the same witnesses, to prove it again? Is it not true that if I am not allowed to disclose to the world the destardly conspiracy which has enmeshed me and am therefore lung—then when truth outs, as truth has always done, every man, be he ligh or low, will stand in danger of that law which visits the sins of the father upon the children.

People can you afford to take this responsibility—you who can render a reason for what you do? Are you not giving yourselves a chance when you give me a chance?

The Formby woman has repudiated her affidavit—that document which damned me irretrievably in the eyes of the public. This denial exists. This denial is true in spite of excuese and quibbling. Why was that first terrible affidavit used on the public, but not used on the trial? Why was it permitted to be circulated to, affect public opinion and to do its dirty work, without compelling those responsible for obtaining it to come out in the open and vouch for it? I know and you know it was because it was feared that it would then and there be repudiated as it has now been. Is any other, reason possible in the light of the recent revelations?

Why is Conley kept concealed?

The first is a new trial appealed for? Simply to keep him out of sight of anyone but those who trained him until after I am dead. That is why, and you who read this know it.

I believe that I am entitled to a new trial, a fair trial. Let those who have the right to pass on it know that I will get it. I am not pleading for my life. I am asking for a fair, square deal, with naught extenuated and naught

OUTLOOK'S
EDITORIAL.

The Outlook's editorial appears in the issue of March 7. It follows in full:

"A case involving defects in criminal procedure which are almost opposite in character to those shown by the Becker case has recently been decided in Georgia.

"A young man, Leo M. Frank, who was convicted of murder last August, has been denied by the supreme court of Georgia the chance for a new trial. "It is declared that when he trial the city in which the trial took place, and the city in which the trial took place, and the city in which the trial took place, and the city in which the trial took place, and the city in which the trial took place, and the city in which the trial took place, and the city in which the trial took place, and the city in which the trial took place, and the city in which the trial took place, and the city in which the trial took place, and the city in which the trial took place, and the city in which the property were forced to pass to and fro through the angry throng. The editors of the very newspapers, we are informed, that had been trying the case in their columns, so dreaded the consequences of popular excitement that they joined in a petition to the trial judge not to let the case go to the jury on a Saturday because of the possibility of the large in declining to grant a new trial said that he, himself, was not certain whether the prisoner was guilty or innocent. From a number of cilizens of Atlanta whose judgment we trust, we have asked for information as to the facts. From the answers we have received we think the following statements may be regarded as trustworthy:

"First. The rumor that the popular hostility to the prisoner was due to the fact that the vicility to the prisoner seems to be wholly explained by the fact that the vicility of the prisoner was due to the fact hat the vicility of the prisoner facility. The fact hat the vicility of the prisoner is an accusation, felt the peculiar atrocity of the crime, through which a gril media death in defending her house and th