LEO M. FRANK HAS NOT LOST ALL HOPE; COUNSEL WILL MAKE VIGOROUS FIGHT TO SAVE The Atlanta; Feb 18, 1914; ProQuest Historical Newspapers Atlanta Constitution (1868 - 1945) pg. 1

LEO M. FRANK HAS NOT LOST ALL HOPE; COUNSEL WILL MAKE VIGOROUS FIGHT TO SAVE THE LIFE OF THEIR CLIENT

Loses in Supreme Court



LEO M. FRANK.

Frank's Attorneys Preparing for New Battle-May Appeal to Federal Courts, or Make Extraordinary Motion.

CONVICTED MAN STOICAL WHEN HE HEARS NEWS; MAKES NO STATEMENT

Trial Judge's Remarks No Ground for New Trial, Holds High Court-Perversion Evidence by Conley Admissible.

Leo M. Frank, denied by the supreme court a new trial for the murder of Mary Phagan, now faces one of three final recourses:

First, motion for a re-hearing be-fore the court which handed down yes-terday's decision;

Second, an extraordinary motion for new trial before the superior court, in which he was originally arraigned, on a basis of newly found evidence:

Third, an appeal to the supreme court of the United States on the grounds that he was technically deprived of constitutional rights during his first trial.

He can invoke all three, in which event, it is not likely the case will finally end within less than a year's time.

The defense is seeking to extract the weaknesses of the affirmative opinion and the strength of the dissenting one to present both in a new fight for a new trial, which is to be waged in either the same supreme court in which the sustaining verdict was hand-ed down or in the federal supreme court, America's ultimate tribunal. No fixed plaus have been made by Attorney's Luther Rosser and Rube Arnold, the convicted man's counsel, for further attack. Both stated Tues-day afternoon that their ideas were indefinite, but that they would never rease-fighting. Frank Still Calm. The defense is seeking to extract the

Frank Still Calm.

In his cell in the Tower Frank main-In his cell in the Tower Frank main-tained characteristic calmness and convicting throughout the day. In the afternoon a barber came and clipped his hair and shaved him. An hour later, he exercised on the dumbells, which has become a daily practice since his long imprisonment. To a jail attache who has entree to his cage Frank is reported as having said: "The truth will finally out. It can't calmness and

"The truth will finally out. It can't be pinned down forever. It will take time-maybe an age, but it will be pinned down foraver. It will take time-maybe an age, but it will eventually come, and I will then be an exonerated man. I am not worrying, because I'm depending on truth. In time the world will know the guilty man and I will be cleared. It will take time, but time will do it."

His wife, Mrs. Lucile Frank, staying at the home of relatives, Mr. and Mrs. A. E. Marcus, said over the telephone to a Constitution reporter last night:

A. E. Marcus, said over the telephone to a Constitution reporter last night: Wife Was Surprised. "Certainly the decision came as a surprise. We are only waiting for the truth to claim its own. My husband is in good health and he is bearing up well. I am too nervous and unstrung to talk much. Later, maybe, I will talk more and have many things to say. But not tonight." Her voice had a trace of tears and there was a sob in her throat. She had undergone a hard day. Twice sho had visited the cell of her husband. The latter visit lasted until late at night, when she departed reluctantly. Frank us besieged by friends all during the day, many remaining until as late as loreed to retire. Frank's defense, it is widely circu-

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

lated, will rely chiefly upon the dis-senting opinion of Chief Justice Fish and Associate Justice Beck, of the su-Lumpkin, was that in Frank's particand Associate Justice Beck, of the su-preme bench, in their new and final battle for the client. The sentiment of these judges was based largely upon the theory that admission of the testi-mony of Jim Conley, the negro star wit-hess, and of C. B. Dalton, was improper. The stories of Conley, such as a client of the sentiment is briefly and tersely put in the follow-the stories of Conley, such as a client of the sentiment the stories of conley, and Dalton The stories of Conley and Dalton ing paragraph of their decision's final related to the alleged perversion of the headnote: defendant. The contention of the con-"The evidence supports the verdict,

"The evidence supports the verdict, and there was no abuse of discretion in refusing a new trial." It was also held that the refusal of Judge L. S. Roan, the trial justice, to grant a new trial on grounds of disor-

der in the courtroom was proper, and furthermore, that the supreme court did not consider oral expressions of the 'trial justice which might be rendered at the time of denial of motion for new trial. This latter ruling related to the famous remarks of Judge Roan in which he declared his indecision as to

either the guilt or innocence of Leo Frank. "I fully expected the decision," stat-"I fully expected the decision," stat-ed Solicitor General Hugh M. Dorsey. "Frank had a fair trial, and an impar-tial one. He was found guilty, and guilty I believe him to be. Had I not believed him guilty throughout the case, I would never have prosecuted him."

Frank to Be Re-Schtenced.

The solicitor will soon take the nec-essary action to have Frank re-sentenc-ed. This will be done at an early date. "I have no desire to hasten affairs," said Dorsey. "I will waste no time, however, the sentence of the s

however.' owever." In view of the dissenting opinion of

the two supreme justices, it is believed counsel for the defense will seek a new hearing before the supreme.court. A thorough survey of both opinions will decide. In this case, they will endeav-or to have the case sent again to the supreme court. This would be their only hope. Charges of technical failure would be

the basis of their second presentation ing a new trial, Justices Fish and Beck in event they follow such course. To said that the evidence of Conley and

Frank's guilt and I am convinced of it today. "He had the benefit of the best legal talent money could buy. He had posi-tion and influential friends to serve him. The jury thought him guilty and said so; the trial judge thought he had been given a fair trial and refused to grant him a new one. The supreme court has now stated that the lower court did not err. "I am sorry for the family and friends of the man who have stood by him so loyally." Headnotes of the decision in the Regarding Disorder in Court. "(a). The requests sat out in grounds 60, 61 and 62 of the motion for a new trial are not so accurate or appropriate as concrete application of the principle involved as to render the failure to give them cause for a new trial. "12. As pointed out in the tweifth division of the opinion, the request to charse as therein set out invaded the province of the jury, and was properly refused. "13. Where a defendant puts his charac-

Headnotes of Decision. The headnotes of the decision in the Frank case read as follows: "On the trial of one accused of the mur-der of a young girl in a factory building of which he was superintendent where cir-cumstantial evidence is relied upon large-ly if not wholly to prove the defendant's guilt its not sufficient cause for a new trial under the special facts of the dass that the state was permitted to prove the demeaner of the night watchman of the factory and also that of the accused on the morning after the discovery of "the body. body.

"2. A young girl was killed in a pencil

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

"2. A young girl was killed in a pencil factory on Saturday afternoon, which was also a public holiday, when the factory was not in operation. The evidence showed that she went to the office of the super-intendent for her pay, and no witness testi-fied to having seen her alive thereafter. There was other evidence from which the jury might infor that the killing occurred office of the superintendent was situated. An employee of the factory, who was pres-ent in the building testified that on that morning the accused had said to him that he desired the witness to watch for him as the witness had been doing the rest of the Saturdays," or 'other Saturdays,' that he did watch at' the doors' whon the girl went up to the office of the scuesd; that he heard her scream; that subsequently the accused called to him to assist in re-moving the body of the deceased. Court Did Not Frr. "He also testified to certain signals

the accused called to him to assist in re-moving the body of the deceased. Ourr Did Not Err. "He also testified to certain signals given by the accused to him while watch-ing. Held, that it was competent to show by the witness how he had been watching for the accused on previous Saturdays, and to explain the system of such alleged sig-nals employed by the accused, and the ref-rence thereto by the accused, and the ref-rence thereto by the accused. "(a). The same witness testified that, after the girl had gone to the office of the accused, he had heard footsteps going in the direction of the place where he first sitw the body, and after hearing the scream and the signal from the accused, the latter told the witness that he 'want-ed to be with a little girl,' and she refused him, and he struck her and guessed he struck her too hard, and she fell and hit her head ancalist something, and he did not know how badly she was hurt. Wit-mess then said that the accused added: 'Of course, you know 1 ain't built like other men.' From the condition of the body it might have been inferred that the person who did the killing sought to have a sex-ual relation, natural or unnatural, with the decassed with a cord. Held, that it was relevant to oxplain the expression above quotad to showing previous transac-tion sof the accused known to him and to witness, which indicated that his conduct in a trial for another, where the sole puppose is to show that the defendant has been suilty of other crimes, and would, therefore, be more liable to commit the

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.