SPARTA CITIZENS INSIST HENSLEE WAS PREJUDICED The Atlanta Constitution; Oct 6, 1913; ProQuest Historical Newspapers Atlanta Constitution (1868 - 1945)

SPARTA CITIZENS INSIST HENSLEE WAS PREJUDICED

"You Said Frank Was Guilty," They Tell Juror in Letter Sent to Him, and Furnish Copies to the Press.

WE PRACTICALLY TRIED HIM BEFORE THE TRIAL"

Say They Will Not Allow Henslee to Call Them Liars to Protect Himself From Criticism "He Deserved."

Criticism "He Deserved." Declaring that they had "practical-ly tried" Leo M. Frank for the mur-der of Mary Phagan before the caso was called, the three men who made affidavits against A. H. Henslee, a Frank furor, charging him with bias, yesterday malled a sensational lotter to the Atlanta newspapers, presenting their side of the case. The writers of the letter are John M. Holmes, of Holmes & Walker, an insurance and buggy firm, B. M. Johnson, cashier of the concern, and Shi Gray, all of Sparta, Ga., who declares he was in the Holmes & Walker office when Honslee made his sileged statements, say in their communica-tion that they cannot bolieve that Henslee's reply to their forced affida-vits has been correctly quoted. The writers also say that they be-lieve it impossible that Henslee could have forgotten the discussion of the Frank case in the office of the cen-cern and recalled the "intense feeling manifested" by Honslee against Frank at the time. The lettor then says: "You must recall in Mr. Holmes' office, on the day stated, and in the presence of the undersigned, we all discussed the Frank case and prac-tically tried him, as it were, and that, in the discussion, you not only said that Frank was as guilty as —— but being a moral degenerate—your exact language we cannot use—and further stated that you were drawn as a juror. "We have no disposition to injuro you or the matter and said noth-for the writers, Gray and Holmes, nany yoars and we do not know bow the attorneys were acquainted with the frank to onversation but your re-mark was common talk in the information in the discussion bubble pross denoun-mark was common talk in the for-mark was common talk in the frames the difference are a number of people where there are a number of people where there are a number of people where t